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## NIST 800-63-3: Digital Authentication Guidelines ${ }^{1}$

- Minimum length: 8
- Minimum maximum length: 64
- allow all printable ASCII characters
- allow even all UNICODE characters, emoji inclusive
- No composition rules.


## Offline Dictionary Attacks

- leaked password dbs
- millions of dictionary words / second checked


## Password Managers

- Do not reuse passwords
- Do not use dictionary words
- High entropy (>80bit)
(sometimes)


## Online Password Managers

pro

- easy syncing
- little installation overhead
con
- privacy
- attack surface (browser+3rd party)
- centralized, juicy target
classical convenience over security trade-off


## Offline Password Managers

pro

- control
- verifiable
con
- syncing
- user is responsible for security
classical security over convenience trade-off


## Cons of all passwords managers

- your master password is the key to the kingdom,
- offline bruteforce against your db
- keylogging
- many keep old user-chosen passwords, which are weak


## Double Trouble

Double attack surface

- server user databases
- password storage


## Crypto

magic silverbullets to the rescue $\backslash \mathrm{o} /$

## SPHINX ${ }^{2}$

## Setup

- Group $G$. The scheme works over a cyclic group $G$ of prime order $q,|q|=\ell$, with generator $g$.
- Hash functions $H, H^{\prime}$ map arbitrary-length strings into elements of $\{0,1\}^{\tau}$ and $G$, respectively, where $\tau$ is a security parameter.
- $O P R F$. For a key $k \leftarrow Z_{q}$, we define function $F_{k}$ as $F_{k}(x)=H\left(x,\left(H^{\prime}(x)\right)^{k}\right)$.
- Parties. User U, Device D, Server S.
- Dictionary Dict of size $2^{d}$ (a power of 2 is used for notational convenience only).

Initialization Phase (assumed to be executed over secure links)

- FK-PTR Initialization: U chooses password pwd $\leftarrow$ Dict; D chooses and stores OPRF key $k \leftarrow Z_{q}$; U interacts with D to compute rwd $=F_{k}(\mathrm{pwd})$.


## Login Phase

- User-Device Interaction (FK-PTR)

1. U chooses $\rho \leftarrow Z_{q}$; sends $\alpha=\left(H^{\prime}(\mathrm{pwd})\right)^{\rho}$ to D .
2. D checks that the received $\alpha \in G$ and if so it responds with $\beta=\alpha^{k}$.
3. U sets $\mathrm{rwd}=H\left(\mathrm{pwd}, \beta^{1 / \rho}\right)$.
${ }^{2}$ https://eprint.iacr.org/2015/1099

## SPHINX Benefits

a password Store that Perfectly Hides from Itself (No eXaggeration)

- information theoretically secure password store
- manager does not know password
- manager salt independent from input/output passwords
- can use more than one "master" password
how does this work again?


## Enter password

1. user enters password

## User chooses random R

1. user enters password
2. "user" chooses random $R$

## User blinds password with R

1. user enters password
2. "user" chooses random $R$
3. $a=H(p w d)^{R}$

## User sends blinded password to storage

1. user enters password
2. "user" chooses random R
3. $a=H(p w d)^{R}$
4. User sends a to storage

## Storage contributes its own "secret"

1. user enters password
2. "user" chooses random $R$
3. $a=H(p w d)^{R}$
4. User sends 'a' to storage
5. Storage returns $b=a^{K}$

## User unblinds final password

1. user enters password
2. "user" chooses random R
3. $a=H(p w d)^{R}$
4. User sends 'a' to storage
5. Storage returns $b=a^{K}$
6. User unblinds $b$ by $b^{(1 / R)}=H(p w d)^{K}$

## Security

- storage compromise: no problem
- network compromise: no problem
- offline dictionary against server: no problem
- storage+server compromised: offline dictionary against master pwd
- does not protect against compromised user (keylogging)


## libsphinx et all

- https://github.com/stef/libsphinx
- https://github.com/stef/pwdsphinx
- https://github.com/stef/websphinx-chrom
- https://github.com/stef/websphinx-firefox
- https://github.com/stef/winsphinx
- also implemented in the PITCHFORK!!!5! \o/
testers, ports to smartphones, users welcome!


## NIST 800-63-3: Digital Authentication Guidelines II

Server Side

- No expiration without reason (forgotten, phished,leaked)
- All passwords hashed (keyed), salted (>32bit) and stretched (pbkdf2 10.000)
- No password hints.
- No Knowledge-based authentication.
- No SMS in 2FA


## OPAQUE 3

Init: On input $p w, p_{U}$ by $U$ and $k, P S$ by $S, U$ computes $r w=H\left(p w, H^{\prime}(p w)^{k}\right)$
and $c=$ AuthEnc $_{r w}\left(p_{U}, P_{U}, P_{S}\right) . S$ stores $\left(k, p_{S}, c\right) . U$ only keeps $p w$.

## Login:

$$
\begin{gathered}
U(p w) \\
r, x \leftarrow Z_{q} \\
\beta=H^{\prime}(p w)^{r}, x=g^{x}
\end{gathered} \begin{aligned}
& \alpha\left(k, p_{s}, c\right) \\
& y \leftarrow Z_{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $r w \leftarrow H\left(p w, \beta^{1 / r}\right)$
- $p_{U}, P K_{U}, P K_{S} \leftarrow$ AuthDec $r_{r w}(c)$
- $K=K E\left(p_{U}, x, P_{S}, Y\right)$

$$
K=K E\left(p_{S}, y, P_{U}, X\right)
$$

[^0]
## OPAQUE Init

the server

- generates and publishes public key
- generates a random salt $k$ for user the user or the server:
- generates public key pair
- calculates secret key $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{pw}, \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{pw})^{\mathrm{k}}\right)$
- encrypts user keypair and the server public key with K finally
- the server stores the encrypted keys


## OPAQUE user initiates session

the user

- generates an ephemeral keypair and a blinding factor $r$
- calculates $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{pw})^{\mathrm{r}}$
- sends a and the public ephemeral key over to the server


## OPAQUE server response

the server

- generates an ephemeral keypair
- calculates $b=a^{k}$ where $k$ is the random salt from the init
- calculates a shared secret S using the long-term and ephemeral keys
- calculates auth $=\mathrm{HMAC}(1, \mathrm{~S})$
- sends b, auth, the encrypted user keys \& the public ephemeral key over to the user


## OPAQUE user finish

the user

- calculates K by unblinding $\mathrm{b}->\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{pwd}, \mathrm{b}^{(1 / \mathrm{r})}\right)$
- decrypts the encrypted keys
- using the decrypted and the ephemeral keys calculates the shared secret S
- using $S$ calculates and verifies auth $=\operatorname{HMAC}(1, S)$
- if user needs to authenticate it sends $\operatorname{HMAC}(2, S)$ to server


## OPAQUE Benefits

- forward secure
- precomputation doesn't help server compromise
- stretching happens on the client
- salt never leaves the server
- password never leaves the client
- is an AKE $\rightarrow$ shared key
cons:
- explicit user authentication is an extra message


## OPAQUE in libsphinx

OPAQUE implemented in
https://github.com/stef/libsphinx
ports to PAM, ningx auth module, javascript, php, etc warmly welcome.

## The End

Questions?


[^0]:    ${ }^{3}$ https://eprint.iacr.org/2018/163

